

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problems Mailbox.**

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06F 3/00</b>		A2	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 97/06479</b>
			(43) International Publication Date: 20 February 1997 (20.02.97)
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US96/12657</b>		(74) Agents: HICKMAN, Paul, L. et al.; P.O. Box 61059, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US).	
(22) International Filing Date: 1 August 1996 (01.08.96)			
(30) Priority Data: 60/001,875 3 August 1995 (03.08.95) US 08/692,830 29 July 1996 (29.07.96) US			
(71) Applicants: INTERVAL RESEARCH CORPORATION [US/US]; Building C, 1801 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US). ROYAL COLLEGE OF ART [GB/GB]; Kensington Gore, London SW7 2EU (GB).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(72) Inventors: COHEN, Jonathan, R.; 720 Kansas Street, San Francisco, CA 94107 (US). BISHOP, Durrell, G., B.; 7 Campden Mansions, Kensington Mall, London W8 (GB). HINDUS, Debby; 315 Yerba Buena Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94127 (US). JOHNSON, Bonnie, M.; 1515 Channing Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (US). SINGER, Andrew, J.; 555 Bryan Street #219, Palo Alto, CA 94301 (US). STIFELMAN, Lisa, J.; 872 Massachusetts Avenue #907, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). VERPLANK, William, L.; 565 Hobart Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US). WALLTERS, Scott, C.; 18604 Loree Avenue, Cupertino, CA 95014 (US). WITHGOTT, M., Margaret; 12348 Melody Lane, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022 (US).		Published Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.	

(54) Title: COMPUTERIZED INTERACTOR SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING SAME

## (57) Abstract

A computerized interactor system uses physical, three-dimensional objects as metaphors for input of user intent to a computer system. When one or more interactors are engaged with a detection field, the detection field reads an identifier associated with the object and communicates the identifier to a computer system. The computer system determines the meaning of the interactor based upon its identifier and upon a semantic context in which the computer system is operating. The interactors can be used to control other systems, such as audio systems, or it can be used as intuitive inputs into a computer system for such purposes as marking events in a temporal flow. The interactors, as a minimum, communicate their identity, but may also be more sophisticated in that they can communicate additional processed or unprocessed data, i.e. they can include their own data processors. The detection field can be one-dimensional or multi-dimensional, and typically has different semantic meanings associated with different parts of the detection field.



# **FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic			SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam

Computerized Interactor Systems And Methods For Providing Same  
Description

Technical Field

- 5           This invention relates generally to human/computer interfaces and more particularly to mechanical input devices for computerized systems.

Background Art

- 10           It has become increasingly common to computerize systems, from the trivial (e.g., the computerized toaster or coffee pot) to the exceedingly complex (e.g., complicated telecommunications and digital network systems). The advantage of computerization is that such systems become more flexible and powerful. However, the price that must be paid for this power and flexibility is, typically, an increase in the difficulty of the human/machine interface.

- 15           The fundamental reason for this problem is that computers operate on principles based on the abstract concepts of mathematics and logic, while humans tend to think in a more spatial manner. People inhabit the real world, and therefore are more comfortable with physical, three-dimensional objects than they are with the abstractions of the computer world. Since people do not think like computers, metaphors are  
20           adopted to permit people to effectively communicate with computers. In general, better metaphors permit more efficient and medium independent communications between people and computers.

- There are, of course, a number of human/computer interfaces which allow  
25           users, with varying degrees of comfort and ease, to interact with computers. For example, keyboards, computer mice, joysticks, etc. allow users to physically manipulate a three-dimensional object to create an input into a computer system. However, these human/computer interfaces are quite artificial in nature, and tend to require a substantial investment in training to be used efficiently.

- 30           Progress has been made in improving the human/computer interface with the graphical user interface (GUI). With a GUI interface, icons are presented on a computer screen which represent physical objects. For example, a document file may look like a page of a document, a directory file might look like a file folder, and an icon

of a trash can be used for disposing of documents and files. In other words, GUI interfaces use "metaphors" where a graphical icon represents a physical object familiar to users. This makes GUI interfaces easier to use for most users. GUI interfaces were pioneered at such places as Xerox PARC of Palo Alto, California and Apple Computer, Inc. of Cupertino, California. The GUI is also often commonly used with UNIX™ based systems, and is rapidly becoming a standard in the PC-DOS world with the Windows™ operating system provided by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington.

While GUIs are a major advance in human/computer interfaces, they nonetheless present a user with a learning curve due to their still limited metaphor. In other words, an icon can only represent a physical object: it is not itself a physical object. Recognizing this problem, a number of researchers and companies have come up with alternative human/computer interfaces which operate on real-world metaphors. Some of these concepts are described in the July, 1993 special issue of *Communications of the ACM*, in an article entitled "Computer Augmented Environments, Back to the Real World." Such computer augmented environments include immersive environments, where rooms are filled with sensors to control the settings of the room, as researched at New York University (NYU) in New York, New York. Another example is the electronic white boards of *Wacom* and others where ordinary-looking erasers and markers are used to create an electronic "ink." *Wellner* describes a "DigitalDesk" that uses video cameras, paper, and a work station to move between the paper and the electronic worlds. *Fitzmarice* has a "Chameleon" unit which allows a user to walk up to a bookshelf and press a touch-sensitive LCD strip to hear more about a selected book. Finally, MIT Media Lab has a product known as *Leggo/Logo* which lets children program by snapping plastic building blocks together, where each of the building blocks includes an embedded microprocessor.

*Bishop*, who is a co-inventor of the invention described and claimed herein, has developed a "marble answering machine" which appears to store a voice mail message in a marble that drops into a cup. The marble, in fact, triggers a pointer on a small computer which stores the message. To play back the message, the marble is dropped into the machine again. This marble answering machine has been publicly known at least as of June, 1993.

While strides have been made in attempting to improve human/computer interfaces, there is still progress to be made in this field. Ultimately, the interface itself should disappear from the conscious thought of users so that they can intuitively

accomplish their goals without concern to the mechanics of the interface or the underlying operation of the computerized system.

### Disclosure of the Invention

5       The present invention improves the human-computer interface by using "interactors." An interface couples a detection field to a controller computer system which, in turn, may be coupled to other systems. When an interactor is entered into the detection field, moved about within the detection field, or removed from the detection field, an event is detected which, when communicated to the computer system, can be  
10       used to create a control signal for either the controller computer system or to a system connected to the controller computer system. Preferably, the detection field is suitably sized and configured so that multiple users can simultaneously access the field and such that multiple interactors can be engaged with the field simultaneously.

15       By "interactor" it is meant that a physical, real world object is used that can convey information both to the controller computer system and to users. An interactor can provide identity (ID) information to the computer through an embedded computer chip, a bar code, etc. An object can also be made into an interactor by embedding higher-level logic, such as a program logic array, microprocessor, or even a full-blown microcomputer. An interactor forms part of a system wherein information is assigned  
20       by users to at least one object.

25       An interactor system in accordance with the present invention includes a detection space and a number of interactors which can be manually manipulated within the detection space. The interactors preferably have a unique ID. An interface responsive to the interactors in the detection space provides signals to communicate  
30       information concerning the interactors (e.g. ID, position, EXIT/ENTER, and "temporal" information) to the computer system. The EXIT/ENTER will often be referred to as UP/DOWN when referring to a two dimensional detection field, since an interactor is entered by putting it down on the field, and is exited by picking it up from the field. Importantly, the computer system processes the information within a semantic context to accomplish a user-desired task. By "semantic", it is meant that the meaning of an interactor is dependent upon the context in which it is being used, both in terms of explicit and implicit assignments of function and content.

As will be appreciated from the above discussion, a method for controlling a computerized system includes the steps of: a) providing a detection space; b) placing a

physical, identifiable interactor having a semantic meaning within the detection space; c) determining the meaning of the interactor within the semantic context; and d) controlling a computerized system in response to the semantic meaning of the interactor.

5 There are a number of specific applications for the interactor technology of the present invention. Two examples are given, one which allows for the control of an audio system to create a "virtual room", and the other which provides an event marking system for recorded media or other time based activities.

10 In the first example, an audio system is provided which can bring a number of widely dispersed individuals together into a common auditory space. For example, the audio system can provide a "virtual room" in which individuals are brought together in the auditory sense from various locations. For example, individuals A, B, and C can be in separate physical offices, yet individual A might wish to casually chat with individuals B and C as if they were in the same office space. Individual A then uses interactors representing B and C (perhaps with their pictures on them) in a detection  
15 field to indicate that he wishes to converse with individuals B and C. The interactors detected by the detection field generate control signals within a controlling computer to control microphones, speakers, and amplifiers to make this happen. In this fashion, and by a very simple metaphor, A, B, and C can be made to inhabit the same "virtual room" for conversation and other auditory communication.

20 In the second example, a videotape "marking" system is described. A videotape player is coupled to a controlling computer, and a videotape is played and observed by one or more users on a monitor. When an event occurring on the videotape is to be logged or marked, an interactor is engaged with the detection field. The controlling computer then retrieves timing information from the videotape player and combines this  
25 with the marking event. Removal of the interactor from the detection field can signify the end of the event, or can signify nothing, depending upon the context and the desires of the users. The detection field is preferably sized and configured so that multiple viewers of the video playback can simultaneously access the detection field. By taking a group approach, each individual can be watching for and marking a specific event or a  
30 small group of events. This approach can reduce the fatigue and tedium with logging videotape.

35 By using interactors, the human/computer interface is greatly enhanced. In the example of the audio control system, it takes little or no training to use the system since the interactors and their spatial relationships are intuitive to the user. Likewise, it is a very physically intuitive gesture for a user to place a labeled or otherwise evocative



interactor on a detection field in response to a certain event detected in a video playback. The present invention therefore provides a more intuitive and richer metaphor for the interaction between humans and computerized systems. Furthermore, the present invention provides a system whereby multiple users simultaneously communicate with a computerized system using the metaphor.

These and other advantages of the present invention will become apparent upon reading the following detailed descriptions and studying the various figures of the drawings.

## 10 Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a pictorial representation of an interactor system in accordance with the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a pictorial representation of a first preferred embodiment of the present invention;

15 Fig. 3 is a side elevational view of a two-dimensional detection field in accordance of the present invention;

Fig. 4 is a top plan view taken along line 4-4 of Fig. 3;

Fig. 5 is a perspective view of an interactor in accordance with the present invention;

20 Fig. 5a is a schematic representation of the internal circuitry of the interactor of Fig. 5;

Fig. 6 is a schematic diagram of the circuitry of the detection field illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4;

25 Fig. 7 is a flow diagram of a computer implemented process running on the microprocessor of Fig. 6;

Fig. 8a is a data word produced by the process of Fig. 7;

Fig. 8b is a table illustrating the meanings associated with the state bit of the data word of Fig. 8a;

Fig. 9a illustrates a one-dimensional detection field;

Fig. 9b illustrates both a three-dimensional and a four-dimensional detection field;

Fig. 9c illustrates an alternative three-dimensional detection field;

Fig. 10 illustrates an interactor used to control an audio system;

Fig. 11a illustrates a first embodiment of the audio control system wherein the user is embodied into the system;

Fig. 11b illustrates a second embodiment of the audio control system wherein the user is not embodied into the system, i.e. is omniscient to the system;

Fig. 11c illustrates a layout of a two-dimensional detection field used for the audio control device;

Fig. 12 is a block diagram for an audio control system of the present invention.

Fig. 13 is a block diagram representing the computer implemented processes running on the computers and server of Fig. 12;

Fig. 14 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the application program of Fig. 13;

Fig. 15 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the network library of Fig. 13;

Fig. 16 is a flow diagram illustrating the server software operation of Fig. 13;

Fig. 17 is an illustration of interactors on a detection field for marking events in temporal flows;

Fig. 18 is a flow diagram of an event marker system in accordance with the present invention;

Fig. 19 is a flow diagram illustrating the "Control Media Based On Event" step of Fig. 18;

Fig. 20 is a flow diagram illustrating the "Process Binding Event" step of Fig. 18;

5 Fig. 21 is a flow diagram of the "Mark Temporal Flow" step of Fig. 18; and

Fig. 22 is a flow diagram illustrating the "Process Other Event" step of Fig. 18.

### Best Modes for Carrying out the Invention

10 In Fig. 1, an interactor system 10 includes a detection space 12, a controller computer 14, and an optional system 16. A number of interactors 18 (which will be discussed more fully hereafter) may be engaged with, moved around in, and removed from the detection space 12. These interactors 18 in conjunction with the detection space 12 help define a human/computer interface that is intuitive, flexible, rich in meaning, and is well adapted for use by multiple simultaneous users.

15 As used herein, the term "detection space" or the like will refer to any n-dimensional space in the physical world. The detection space will be alternatively referred to as a "detection field," an "event field," and the like. Therefore, such terms as "space," "field," "domain," "volume," should be considered as synonymous as used herein. However, "field" will be used more frequently with respect to a two dimensional detection space, while "space" will be used more frequently with respect to a three dimensional detection space.

20 Since we live in a three-dimensional world, any real-world detection space will have a three-dimensional aspect. However, if only two of those dimensions are used as input to the computer 14, we will refer to the detection field as a "two dimensional." Likewise, if only one-dimension is used as an input to computer 14, we will refer herein to such a field as "one dimensional." Furthermore, in certain embodiments of the present invention, the detection space may be time-variant, allowing the inclusion of four dimensional detection spaces. Various examples of detection spaces and fields will be discussed in greater detail subsequently.

30 Computer 14 is preferably a general purpose microcomputer made by any one of a variety of commercial vendors. For example, computer 14 can be a Macintosh

computer system made by Apple Computer, Inc. or a PC/AT compatible DOS computer system made by Compaq, IBM, Packard-Bell, or others. Computer 14 is coupled to the detection space 12 as indicated at 20 such that it may receive information concerning an interactor 18 placed within the detection space 12. An interface is provided between  
5 the detection space 12 and the computer 14 which may be either internal to or external of the computer system 14. The design and implementation of interfaces is well known to those skilled in the art, although a preferred implementation of an interface of the present invention will be discussed in greater detail subsequently.

By coupling the optional system 16 to computer 14, interactors and the optional  
10 system 16 can interact within controller computer 14. The system 16 may serve as an input to computer 14, an output from computer 14, or both. When used as an input to computer 14, the system 16 can provide data on a line 22, which is used in conjunction with data on line 20 derived from the interaction of an interactor 18 with the detection space 12. When used as an output from the computer system 14, the system 16 can be  
15 controlled by the interaction of the interactor 18 with the detection space 12. The system 16 can be of a standard commercial design (e.g. a videotape player), or can be a custom system designed for a particular use.

An interactor system 24 used to mark events in a temporal flow is illustrated somewhat schematically in Fig. 2. The interactor system 24 includes a detection field  
20 26, a computer 28, and a video system 30. With the interactor system 24, a videotape or other video source can be displayed on a screen 32 of the video system 30 and events can be "marked" by engaging interactors 34 with the detection field 26. The images on video screen 32 may be recorded such as within a recording/playback unit 35 of the video system 30, or may be purely transitory images, such as those produced by  
25 a video camera 36 of the video system 30. If recorded, the images can be "marked" contemporaneously with recording of the image, or after the fact. In the latter instance, the unit 35 would simply be used in its playback mode to playback an earlier recorded video tape for event marking.

The detection field 26 is, in this embodiment, a two-dimensional detection field  
30 in that it can detect positions of interactors 34 in both an "x" and a "y" direction. However, the detection field 26 of Fig. 2 does not detect vertical displacement from the detection field (i.e. in the z-direction) in this present embodiment. The detection field 26 is provided with four, V-shaped channels 38 which permit the interactors 34 to be engaged with the detection field 26 at a convenient angle. A number (e.g. 12) of  
35 interactors 34 can be engaged with each of the channels 38.

The detection field 26 is coupled to the computer 28 by an interface 40. More particularly, a first cable 42 couples the detection field 26 to the interface 40, and a second cable 44 couples the interface 40 to the computer 28. The construction and operation of both the detection field 26 and interface 40 will be described in greater detail subsequently.

The video system 30 is coupled to computer 28 by a cable 46. Preferably, the computer 28 includes an internal video interface card which engages with a suitable connector at one end of the cable 46. Other embodiments have other arrangements for connecting the video system to the computer. Video systems 30 and video system interface cards (not shown) are commercially available from such sources as Radius Corporation of California. The video camera 36 can be coupled to the record/playback unit 35 by a cable 48, or can be directly coupled into the computer 28 through the aforementioned video interface card (not shown). Video cameras such as video camera 36 are available from a number of manufacturers including Sony Corporation of Japan.

Fig. 3 is a side elevational view of detection field 26. Shown engaged with three of the four V-shaped channels 38 are interactors 34. Again, while only one interactor is shown engaged with each of channels 38, a number of interactors 34 (e.g. 12) can be simultaneously engaged with each of the channels. The body 50 of the detection field 26 is preferably made from an insulating material such as wood or plastic.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a plurality of permanent magnets 52 are provided in a first wall 54 of each of the V-shaped channels 38 corresponding, one each, with positions where interactors can be engaged with the channels. The backs 56 of interactors 34 are adapted to engage the walls 54 of the channels, i.e. preferably both the walls 54 of the channels and the backs 56 of the interactors are planar in configuration. Each of the interactors 34 are also provided with a magnet 58 which is attracted to a magnet 52 when the back 56 of the interactor 34 is engaged with a wall 54 of the V-shaped channel 38. This is accomplished by having opposing (N/S) poles of magnets 52 and 58 face each other when the interactor 34 is engaged with the channel 38. Since the magnets 52 and 58 are slightly offset in the vertical sense when the interactor 34 is engaged with the channel 38, a force  $F$  is exerted on each of the interactors 34 to firmly hold the back 56 against the wall 54 and to firmly hold a base 60 of the interactor 34 against an abutting wall 62 of the V-shape channels 38. Therefore, the magnets not only hold the interactors 34 in position, they also ensure good contact between abutting surfaces of the interactor 34 and channel 38.

As seen in Fig. 4, each of the channels 38 are provided with a number of contacts 64 and a grounding strip 66. The contacts 64 are electrically conducting and are located in walls 54 of the channels. The grounding strips 66 are also electrically conducting and are connected near to the bottom of the walls 62 of the channels. As will be discussed in greater detail subsequently, an interactor 34 makes electrical contact with one of the contacts 64 and with the grounding strip 66 when properly engaged with the V-shaped channel 38. The magnets 52 and 58, in addition to urging the interactor 34 into the channel 38, also help assure that the interactor 34 is aligned properly in the x direction so that it makes good contact with the intended contact 64. This desired result is accomplished because the magnets 52 and 58 will create a force that will attempt to align the interactor in the x direction. The contact 64 and the grounding strip 66 can be made, for example, from copper or any other suitable conductive material.

In Fig. 5, a perspective view of an interactor 34 shows the base 60 and back 56. The body 68 of the interactor 34 of Fig. 5 is a rectangular prism and is made from a non-conductive material such as wood or plastic. Base 60 includes a foil member 70 which is adapted to engage the grounding strip 66 of the V-shaped channels 38. Attached to the back 56 is a contact 72 which is adapted to engage one of the contacts 64 of the V-shaped channels 38. The foil 70 and contact 72 are made from a suitable conductive material, such as copper.

The interactors 34 and the detection field 26 are sized for easy use and for the simultaneous use by several persons. For example, the interactors 34 can have dimensions of about 0.5 in. X 1.5 in. X 2.0 in., while the detection field can have dimensions of about 1 ft X 2 ft X 3 in. in height. This permits the interactors 34 to be comfortably held in a user's hand, and allows multiple users to simultaneously interact with the detection field 26.

In Fig. 5a, the internal circuitry of the interactor 34 is shown. The circuitry includes an identification (ID) chip 74, and a diode 76. The ID chip is available from Dallas Semiconductor of Texas as part number DS2401, and provides a unique 48-bit identification (ID) when properly queried. The diode 76 prevents false keying, as is well known to those skilled in the art of keyboard design. The ID chip 74 is coupled to node 70 by the diode 76, and is coupled to the contact 72 by a line 78.

In Fig. 6, the internal circuitry 80 of the detection field 26 is illustrated. More particularly, the internal circuitry 80 includes the four grounding strips 66 and the contacts 64 described previously. The contacts 64 are coupled together in rows by

lines 82 and are coupled to Vcc (e.g. 5 volts) by pull-up resistors 84. Nodes of the circuitry 80 between the pull-up resistors 84 and the contacts 64 form a 12 bit bus which are input into a buffer register 86. Likewise, grounding strips 66 are coupled into a four-bit bus and are input into the register 86. A microprocessor 88 (such as an M68H141 made by Motorola of Austin, Texas) communicates with the register 86 via a bus 90. Collectively, the register 86 and the microprocessor 88 comprise the interface 40, and the 12 bit bus and the 4 bit bus collectively forms the bus 42. The output bus 44 is under the control of microprocessor 88. It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the interface 40 will also include other well-known components, such as RAM for scratch-pad storage, ROM to store the control instructions for the microprocessor 88, etc.

In Fig. 7, a computer implemented process 92 that runs on the microprocessor 88 to control the circuitry 80 will be described. The instructions for this process 92 are stored in the aforementioned ROM of the interface 40, as will be appreciated by those skilled in the art. The process 92 begins at 94 and, in a first step 96, the microprocessor 88 clears the bit maps. By bit map, it is meant binary digits are mapped to particular locations on the board. These bit maps are preferably stored in the aforementioned RAM memory of the interface 40. Next, in a step 98, the rows and columns of the circuitry 80 are read and put into the current bit map. Next, in a step 100, a debounce routine is performed. Debounce routines are well known to those skilled in the art of keyboard and computer switch design. Next, in a step 102, locations on the detection field 26 that have "pieces" are "marked" on the bit map. As used herein, a "piece" is an interactor. By "marking" a location, a piece is engaged with the detection field 26 such that it makes electrical contact with the circuitry 80. Next, in a step 104, the ID of each of the pieces engaged with the detection field 26 is read, and is then compared to a list of the pieces (also stored in RAM memory of the interface 40). In a step 106, if a newly read ID is not equal to an old ID for a particular position, then a report is made that a new piece has been put down (i.e. engaged with the detection field 26) and an old piece has been picked up from the same position. This information is added to a queue stored in RAM. In a step 108, if the same piece is in the same position on the detection field, it is reported that the piece is still down. In a step 110, if a new piece is detected at a position, it is reported that a piece has been placed on to the detection field 26. Next, the bit map is scanned in a step 112 for removed pieces and, if a removed piece is detected, the ID is reported. Next, in a step 114, the current bit map is moved into the older bit map. Subsequently, a step 116 determines if there is a user request. If not, process control is returned to step 98. If there is a user request, that user request is handled in a step 118. In the current preferred embodiment, this involves processing the user request to handle the

commands "get board state", "get next event", "get all events", "erase all events", and "get board type (version)." After the user request has been processed, process control is again returned to step 98.

5 In Fig. 8a, a digital word 120 of the present invention includes a number of bits. More particularly, the current word includes 55 bits. Of the bits, a bit B0 indicates the state, bits B1-B2 indicate the row, and bits B3-B6 indicate the column of the interactor. Finally, bits B7-54 hold the 48 bit ID of the interactor. This data can be passed to the computer 28 via bus 44.

10 In Fig. 8b, a table of state changes is shown along with their associated meanings. As described previously, the word 120 includes a state which is essentially exit/enter (up/down) for a particular interactor (i.e. when and how long has an interactor been positioned in the detection field). If the current state value is equal to 0, and the last state value is equal to 0, the meaning is that there is no piece (interactor) at that row and column position. If the current state is 1 and the last state is 0, that means  
15 that a piece has been put down at that row and column position. If the current state is 1 and the last state is 1, that means that the piece is still down since that last time that the detection field was scanned. Finally, if the current state is 0 and the last state is 1, that means that a piece has been picked up, i.e. an interactor has been removed from the detection field.

20 Figs. 9a, 9b, and 9c illustrate three alternative embodiments for a detection field. In Fig. 9a, a detection field 122 allows an interactor 124 to be linearly placed in a multiplicity of positions along an x axis. This is an illustration of a one-dimensional detection field. It should be noted that, at the trivial extreme, if the detection field 122 is shortened sufficiently, it can be made just large enough to accept a single interactor  
25 124. This would comprise a zero-dimensional detection field which would simply detect the presence or absence of an interactor and its ID number, i.e. it can operate as a simple switch.

30 In Fig. 9b, a detection space 126 is illustrated that can accept interactors 128 in three dimensions, i.e. along x, y, and z axes. The x, y, and z positions of an interactor 128 can all be used to determine the context or meaning of the interactor. For example, the base platform 130 can have a different meaning from a first platform 132, a second platform 134, a third platform 136, a fourth platform 138, and a fifth platform 140. Platform 136 could, for example, be dedicated to changing the identity of one of the interactors 128. Objects on platform 138 could be "enclosing" interactors on platform



140. The meaning and relationships of the various platforms can therefore be designed based upon desired functionalities specified by a user.

It should also be noted that a fourth dimension can be added to the detection space 126 of Fig. 9b. In other words, the detection field 126 can change with time.

- 5 One way to accomplish this is to allow the platforms to move over time such that their meanings change. For example, as indicated by arrows 142, 144, and 146, platforms 134, 136, and 138 can be allowed to move up and down, respectively, perhaps under the control of a motor (not shown). This permits an interactor 128 to have different meanings over a period of time. For example, the interactor 128 on platform 134 could
- 10 represent a volume level for a loudspeaker which will diminish over time as the platform moves downwardly in a z direction. Therefore, it should be clear from the foregoing that the detection spaces or fields can be n-dimensional where n is 0, 1, 2, 3, etc.

- In the previous examples of detection fields and spaces, the detection fields and spaces have always been mapped by Cartesian (x, y, z) coordinates. In Fig. 9c, a
- 15 detection space 148 in the form of a spherical globe is provided where a number of interactors have been adhered (such as by magnets) to its surface. With such spherical detection spaces or fields, it may be more convenient to determine the position of the interactors using a spherical coordinate system. It should also be noted that other forms
- 20 of detection fields can be provided including detection fields of irregular shapes.

The present invention will be described more particularly in the form of the following two examples. It will be appreciated, however, that there are many other applications in which the interactor methods and systems can be used with good effect.

#### Example 1: An Audio Control System

- 25 In Fig. 10, an interactor 152 is shown which will be used for a particular implementation of the present invention. The interactor 152 includes a body 154 that operates functionally in a fashion very similar to that of the interactor 34 illustrated in Figs. 5 and 5a. The interactor 152 can be used with a detection field similar to or identical with detection field 26 as illustrated in Figs. 3, 4, and 6. The detection field 30 26 used with the interactor 152 can also use the same interface 40 to interconnect the field with a computer system 28.

The difference between interactor 152 and the previously described interactor 34 is therefore design related and not computational in nature in that they support different

metaphors. With the interactor 152, a doll's head 156 or other talisman is provided with a peg 158 which can engage a hole 160 in the body 154. A small piece of white board 162 is removably attached to the body 154 by a pair of hook-and-pile (e.g. Velcro®) members 164a and 164b. The hook-and-pile member 164a is attached to a surface of body 154 while member 164b is attached to the back of the white board 162. In this way, the white board 162 can be removably attached to the body 154 of the interactor 152. A name, label, or other indicia can be provided on the white board 162 with a marker 166 as illustrated by the name "Fred." Therefore, the interactor 152 can be used to represent a person named Fred both by means of the head configuration 156 and the name on the white board 162. It is a useful feature of the present invention in that interactors can be given distinct visual, aural or other sensory identities which aid in the metaphor of the human-computer interface.

In Fig. 11a, a detection field 166 has a number of interactors 168 that can be positioned at various locations. In this instance, one of the interactors 168a represents the user herself. The other interactors 168 in this example represent other people. As noted, the pieces can be moved around such that their relative x, y positions change with respect to each other. It is therefore possible with the interactors of the present invention to create a "virtual room" wherein the utterances made by various persons represented by the interactors appear to be spatially located as indicated by the interactors. Therefore, the interactors and detection fields of the present invention can be used as a controller for forming groups in a "virtual room" and for varying the relative location of the various members of the group.

For example, in Fig. 11a, before the user's interactor has been moved, two people would appear to be talking to the left of the user and two people would appear to be talking in front of, and to the right of the user. After the interactor 168a has been moved to the new position 168a', the two people that were apparently to the left of the user would now be behind the user, and the two people that were to the front and right of the user would be directly to the right of the user. By removing any one of the interactors 168 from the "virtual room," that person would no longer be part of the conversation, and removing the user's interactor 168a from the room (i.e. removing the interactor from the detection field 166) would eliminate the "virtual room." Of course, a suitable number of loudspeakers would be required to create the desired illusion.

In Fig. 11b, a slightly altered detection field 166' is used for substantially the same purpose as previously described. However, in the previous embodiment, an interactor representing the user herself is within the detection field 166, but in the

embodiment of Fig. 11b, the user does not have an interactor representing herself on the detection field 166'. In the previous embodiment as illustrated in Fig. 11a, the user is said to be "embodied" in that she is on the detection field and can move on the detection field relative to other interactors. However, in the "non-embodied" or "omniscient" version shown in Fig. 11b, the position of the user is fixed at some point, either off or on the detection field 166'. For example, the user might be positioned at a point 170 just off of the detection field 166'. However, the other people represented by interactors 168 can be adjusted relative to the user to obtain much of the effect obtainable by the embodiment illustrated in Fig. 11a.

10 In Fig. 11c, a potential "layout" of a detection field 166' is illustrated. If an interactor is placed near the back of the field, the volume associated with the person represented by that interactor is at its softest. Placing the interactor near the front of the field will make the associated person the loudest. Special positions on the left and right edges and down the center of the detection field can perform special functions, such as

15 "pan", "get info", or "assign."

In Fig. 12, an interactor system 172 in accordance with the present invention includes an audio server 174 and a number of workstations 176. As a system, the interactor system 172 can perform the functionality described with respects to Figs. 11a and 11b.

20 The audio server 174 includes a data server 178, a MIDI timepiece 180, a number of MIDI devices 182, and an audio concentrator 184. The data server 178 receives data from a network bus 186 and is connected to the MIDI timepiece 180 by a bus 188. The MIDI timepiece 180 is connected to a rack of MIDI devices 182 by a bus 190, and the output of the MIDI devices 182 are coupled to the concentrator 184 by a

25 bus 192. The concentrator 184 has, as inputs, a number of audio lines 194.

Each workstation 176 includes a computer 196, interfaces 198, and detection fields 200 as described previously. The detection fields 200 can have one or more interactors 202 placed upon their surfaces as previously illustrated and described with reference to Figs. 11a and 11b. The workstation further includes a pair of stereo loudspeakers 204 and a pair of stereo microphones 206. The loudspeakers 204 are coupled directly into a control box 208 which include loudspeaker amplifiers. The microphones are coupled to a pre-amplifier 210 which, in turn, are coupled to the control box 208. The control box 208 also includes microphone amplifiers. The audio lines 194 carry the microphone signals to the concentrator 184, and the loudspeaker

35 signals from the concentrator 184 to the various speakers 204.

The software operating the interactor system 172 is conceptually illustrated in block diagram form in Fig. 13. The databus 186 carries the data necessary to interconnect the various components of the system 172 and can, for example, be implemented on an Apple LocalTalk or Ethernet network protocol. It should be understood, however, that other network protocols such as Novell Netware or custom network software can also be used to provide the networking functions of the network bus 186.

Three software routines are used to implement the interactor system 172 of the present invention. Namely, each of the workstations 176 operate an application program and a network library, and a data server 178 operates data server software and the network library. The application program 212 runs on the computer 196 of each of the workstations 176 that are part of the interactor system 172. Network libraries 214 likewise each run on a computer system 196. The network library communicates with the network bus 186 via a conceptual link 216 and with the application program via a conceptual link 218. The application program 212 communicates with the network bus 186 via a conceptual link 220. The links 216, 218, and 220 are considered conceptual in that they are not physical links to the bus but, rather, logical links through operating system software, network software, internal buses, network cards, etc.

The software running on the data server 178 includes the network library 222 and the data server software 224. The network library has a conceptual link 226 to the network bus and a conceptual link 228 to the data server software 224. The data server software has a conceptual link 230 to the network bus 186.

In the present implementation, the conceptual links 220 and 230 from the network bus 186 to the application programs 212 and to data server software 224, respectively are AppleEvents created by an Apple networking system. The conceptual links 216 and 226 between the network library and the network bus 186 are preferably standard AppleTalk or Ethernet data packages.

Fig. 14 illustrates the application program 212 running on the workstations 176. The process 212 begins at 232 and, in a step 234, the process is initialized with the board type, and the current board space. Next, in a step 236, the state of all persons on the system is communicated based upon the board state and board type. After the initialization and communication steps, the process enters an event queue 238 to await the next event. If the next event is a "pick-up" event, a step 240 determines whether the interactor is in a control space. As used herein, a control space is a dedicated portion of a detection field used to control the process. If it is an assignment

control space (where meanings are assigned to interactors) control is returned to the step 238. If the control space is a people control space, the audio is cut off in a step 242 and process control is again returned to step 238.

5 If step 240 determines that the interactor is not in a control space, it is determined in step 246 if the board (i.e. the detection field) is self-embodied. If yes, it is determined in a step 248 if the interactor representing the user ("self") has been removed from the board. If not, the system provides an audio feedback and a new state to the server in a step 250. If the interactor representing the user has been removed from a self-embodied board, a step 252 provides audio feedback and turns off the  
10 sound to all users.

If the event queue detects an interactor being put down on the detection field, a step 254 determines whether it was put down into a control space. If yes, people information is provided in a step 256. If it was put into an assignment space, a step 258 inputs the assignment to the interactor. After either step 256 or 258 are completed,  
15 process control is returned to step 238. Next, in a step 260, it is determined whether there is a self-embodied board. If yes, a step 268 determines whether an interactor representing the user has been placed on the detection field. If not, or if step 260 determines that is not a self-embodied board, a step 264 provides audio feedback and resets the data concerning the person represented by the interactor. Otherwise, step 268  
20 determines an interactor representing the user has been placed on the detection field, audio feedback is provided, and a reset of all of the people represented by the interactors on the board is initiated. After steps 264 or 266 is completed, process control is returned to step 238.

In Fig. 15, the functionality of the network library 214 of Fig. 13 is shown in greater detail. The functionality of network library 222 is substantially the same. The process 214 begins at 268 and, in a step 270, it is determined whether a function call has been received. If not, the process 214 goes into an idle loop awaiting a function call. If a function call "receive event" has been received, a step 272 provides a requesting program with the information regarding the event. If a functional call  
25 corresponding to "send event" is received, an AppleEvent is created from the function call to communicate with other programs in a step 274. Process control is returned to the function call event loop 270 after the completion of either steps 272 or 274.  
30

In Fig. 16, the operation of data software server 224 of Fig. 13 is illustrated in greater detail. A process 224 begins at 276 and, in a step 278, it is determined whether

an AppleEvent has been received. Again, this process 224 is Macintosh® specific, and like or equivalent processes can be used in other types of computer systems. If an AppleEvent has not been received, the AppleEvent loop 278 repeats until that AppleEvent is received. If the AppleEvent is a "value change" AppleEvent, a step 280 determines whether there is a privacy violation. If yes, a step 282 notifies an error handler to handle the privacy violation. Process control is then returned to step 278. If there is not privacy violation detected by step 280, there is an update of dynamic information database in a step 284. Next, in a step 286, MIDI data is calculated and sent. In a subsequent step 288, users with a vested interest in the function are notified, and process control is returned to step 278. If a "value inquiry" AppleEvent is detected, a step 290 determines whether there is a privacy violation. If yes, a step 292 notifies the network library with the error function and process control is returned to step 278. If there is not a privacy violation as determined by step 290, information is retrieved from the database in a step 294. Finally, in a step 296, the network library is called to reply and process control is returned to step 278.

#### Example 2: Videotape Marking System

In this second example, an interactor system such as interactor system 24 is controlled to "mark" or "log" events in a videotape. In Fig. 17, a detection field 298 includes three zones 300a, 300b, 300c and a number of interactors 302. Each of the interactors has a semantic meaning due to its identity, due to their position in the various zones 300a, 300b, and 300c of the detection field 298, and due to their amount or "type" of time they have been present in the detection field (up/down or, as sometimes referred to herein, exit/enter). The various objects 302 can be used mark and control the temporal flow of a recorded medium as described previously with regards to Fig. 2.

As used herein, "temporal flow" will refer to the flow of events, either in real time or in some other time related context. Therefore, either events can be marked in a temporal flow, or events that have been previously recorded or that are being concurrently recorded can be marked in the temporal flow. The "marking" may only be literally temporal (such as in real time), temporal with regard to a specific origin (such as seconds since the start of the tape), or temporal only in the sense that the measure could be translated into a temporal stream (such as feet of tape or frame number). While the present example relates to a recorded video medium, the marking and control of the temporal flow of another medium, such as an audio medium, may also be carried out.

In Fig. 18, a computer implemented process 304 operating on a computer 28 of Fig. 2 for marking and controlling a temporal flow begins at 306 and, in a step 308, it is determined whether a non-board event has been received. If so, this "other" type of event is processed in a step 310 and process control is returned to step 308. Next, in a step 312, it is determined whether a board event has been received. If not, process control is returned to step 308. If a board event has been received, the board is polled in a step 314 and it is determined in a step 316 whether a null board event has been received. A null board event may be that no interactors have been perceived in the detection field, or that no changes have been detected in the state of the interactors in the detection field. If so, process control returns to 308. However, if a board event has been received (i.e. it is not a null board event), the board event is parsed in a step 318. Next, in a step 320, it is determined the event type based upon any combination (e.g., any one, any two, or all three) of the interactor's ID, location, and whether it is up or down (i.e. the time period of the interactor in the detection field). Next, in a step 332, the parsed event is processed by type. If it is a media event, a step 324 controls the media based upon the event. If it is a binding event, a step 326 processes the binding event. If it is a marking event, a step 324 marks the temporal flow. In this instance, the temporal flow is marked by receiving frame information from the video player and storing that frame information along with the event type in a database on the computer 28. If the event type is unrecognized, or after steps 324, 326, or 328 have been processed, process control returns to step 308.

Step 324 of Fig. 18 is illustrated in greater detail in Fig. 19. To control a media based upon the event, process 324 begins at 320 and, in a step 332, the meaning of the interactor in the detection field is determined from its ID, its location, and whether it is up or down. The meaning may be determined based upon any combination of the presence of the interactor (up/down), the ID of the interactor, and the location of the interactor. Next, in a step 334, this meaning is converted into control commands (e.g. stop, fast-forward, speed etc.) for the media system. The process 324 is completed at 336.

Step 326 of Fig. 18 is illustrated in greater detail in Fig. 20. Process 326 begins at 338 and, in a step 340, the current meaning of the interactor is displayed. The system then determines whether the user wants to redefine the current meaning of the interactor in a step 342. If not, the process 326 is completed as indicated at 352. If the user does wish to redefine the meaning of a particular interactor, it is determined in a step 344 what type of redefinition is desired. If the meaning of the location is "re-bind the ID", then a step 346 redefines the binding of the ID to the meaning. If the meaning of the object is "re-define the location", the system redefines the binding of the location

to the meaning in a step 348. If the meaning of the location or the ID is "re-define the proximity", a step 350 is redefines the binding of the proximity to the meaning. As used herein, a definition for "proximity" is a measure of distance between the interactor and the detection field, or the position of an interactor in a detection space. After the  
5 completion of the binding steps of 346, 348, or 350, the process 326 itself is completed as indicated at 352.

The step 238 of Fig. 18 is illustrated in greater detail in Fig. 21. The process 328 begins at 352 and, in a step 354, the current temporal value of the media is retrieved. Next, in a step 356, a mark is stored with a temporal value and meaning  
10 based upon the ID, location, and how the interactor has been placed "up or down" in the detection field. The process 328 is then completed at 358.

The step 310 of Fig. 18 is illustrated in greater detail in Fig. 22. The process 310 begins at 360 and, in a step 362, an event type is determined. If the event type is board control, a step 364 issues board control commands. If the event type is "database," a step 366 manipulates the database of marks. If the event type is "navigate media," a media control command is issued by step 368. If the event type is "device control," a device to control is selected in a step 370. After the  
15 completion of steps 364, 366, 368, or 370, the process 310 is completed as indicated at 372.

20 While this invention has been described in terms of several preferred embodiments, there are alterations, permutations, and equivalents which fall within the scope of this invention. It should also be noted that there are may alternative ways of implementing both the process and apparatus of the present invention. It is therefore intended that the following appended claims be interpreted as including all such  
25 alterations, permutations, and equivalents as fall within the true spirit and scope of the present invention.



Claims

1. A computerized interactor system comprising:

a detection space;

at a plurality of physical interactors which can be manually placed within said  
5 detection space and removed from said detection space; wherein said detection space  
comprises a plurality of positions at which respective ones of said plurality of  
interactors may be selectively manually placed and removed thereby to provide a  
plurality of selectable and changeable arrangements of said plurality of interactors at  
said plurality of positions of said detection space;

10 an interface responsive to an interactor in said detection space and operative to  
provide an interactor signal indicative of the identity and status of said interactor;

a computer system coupled to said interface and operative to process said  
interactor signal to create a control input that is indicative of said identity and status of  
said interactor within a predetermined semantic framework; and

15 an application system coupled to said computer system and responsive to said  
control input.

2. A computerized interactor system as recited in claim 1 wherein said  
20 plurality of interactors may be manually placed in and removed from said detection  
space by a plurality of users of the system.

3. A computerized interactor system as recited in any of claims 1-2 wherein  
each of said interactors comprise identification circuitry and wherein said detection  
25 space comprises internal circuitry adapted for connection with said identification  
circuitry of said interactor, said internal circuitry of said detection space being coupled  
to said interface.

4. A computerized interactor system as recited in any of claims 1-3 wherein said at least one physical interactor comprises a distinct visual feature for metaphorically representing said identity of said interactor.

5. A computerized interactor system as recited in any of claims 1-4 wherein said application system comprises at least one of an audio application system means and a video application system means.

6. A method for controlling a computerized system comprising the steps of:

a) providing a detection space;

b) placing a physical and identifiable member having a semantic meaning independent of said member within said detection space;

c) determining said semantic meaning of said member within a given semantic context by determining a selected position of said member in said detection space and an identification of said member; and

d) controlling a computerized system in response to said semantic meaning of said identifiable number.

7. A method for controlling a computerized system as recited in claim 6 wherein said step of determining said semantic meaning of said member comprises determining a temporal relationship of said member placed in said detection space.

8. A method for controlling a computerized system as recited in any of claims 6-7 comprising placing a plurality of physical and identifiable members within said detection space and determining the semantic meanings of said plurality of members.

9. A system for controlling a computerized system comprising:

at least one physical control member having an identifiable identity;

a field receptive to said control member;

5 an interface coupled to said field and operative to develop an identity signal representative of said identity of said control member, said interface being further operative to detect a status of said member received by said field and to develop a status signal representative of said status of said member; and

10 a processor coupled to said interface and receptive to said identity signal, said processor processing said identity signal to develop a control signal for a system to be controlled.

10. A system for controlling a computerized system as recited in claim 9 wherein said status of said member is a function of a position of said member received by said field and of a time said member is received by said field.

15

11. A system for controlling a computerized system as recited in any of claims 9-10 wherein said system to be controlled is at least one of an audio application system means and a video application system means.

20 12. A system for controlling a computerized system as recited in any of claims 9-11 wherein said control member comprises at least one distinct visual feature for metaphorically representing said identity of said control member.

25 13. A method for marking events within a temporal flow comprising the steps of:

- a) monitoring a temporal flow;
- b) providing a detection space;
- c) placing an identifiable member having a semantic meaning within said detection space;
- 5 d) determining said semantic meaning of said member that is different from said member in a context of said temporal flow; and
- e) recording an event related to said temporal flow and to the semantic meaning of said identifiable number.

10 14. A method for marking events within a temporal flow as recited in claim 13 providing a detection space accessible by a plurality of users;

15 15. A method for marking events within a temporal flow as recited in any of claims 13-14 comprising:

- 15 placing a plurality of identifiable members within said detection space;
- determining the semantic meanings of said plurality of members; and
- recording a plurality of events related to said temporal flow and to the semantic meanings of said plurality of members.

20 16. A method for marking events within a temporal flow as recited in any of claims 13-15 wherein said step of determining said semantic meaning of said member comprises determining a selected position of said member placed in said detection space.

17. A method for marking events within a temporal flow as recited in any of claims wherein 13-16 wherein said step of determining said semantic meaning of said member comprises determining an identification of said member.

5 18. A method for marking events within a temporal flow as recited in any of claims 13-17 wherein said step of determining said semantic meaning of said member comprises determining a temporal relationship of said member placed in said detection space.

10 19. A system for marking events occurring in a recorded media comprising:  
a display system for displaying a recording; said system providing an event timing information concerning events occurring in said recording;

a plurality of event markers, each of which is provided with an event marker identifier;

15 an event field receptive to said event markers and operative to provide said event marker identifier in an event marker time; and

a processor coupled to said display system to receive said event timing information and said event marker identifier; and operative to mark an event based upon said event timing information, said event marker time, and said event marker identifier.

20

20. A system for marking events occurring in a recorded media as recited in claim 19 wherein said plurality of event markers are operable by a plurality of users for making said plurality of event markers removably received by said event field.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4






Fig. 5




Fig. 5a




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

6/21

120

STATE (UP/ON)	ROW	COL.	ID
B0	B1 - B2	B3 - B6	B7-B54

Fig. 8a

<u>CURRENT</u>	<u>LAST</u>	<u>MEANING</u>
0	0	NO PIECE
1	0	PIECE DOWN
1	1	PIECE STILL DOWN
0	1	PIECE UP

Fig. 8b




Fig. 9a

7/21




Fig. 9b




Fig. 9c




Fig. 10

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)**

9/21

166




Fig. 11a

166'




Fig. 11b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

10/21

BACK

RIGHT

Left pan		S	O	F	T	E	S	T	Right pan	
Left pan					Center pan				Right pan	
Left pan					Center pan				Right pan	Info Zone
Left pan		L	O	U	D	E	S	T	Right pan	Assign Zone

FRONT

LEFT

Fig. 11c

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)





Fig. 12



12/21

Fig. 13






Fig. 14

14/21




Fig. 15




Fig. 16



17/21




Fig. 18

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




Fig. 19




Fig. 20



328




Fig. 21

21/21




Fig. 22

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification n <sup>o</sup> : <b>G06K 11/18, H04M 3/56, G06F 3/033, G11B 27/00</b>		A3	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 97/06479</b>
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/12657		(43) International Publication Date: 20 February 1997 (20.02.97)	
(22) International Filing Date: 1 August 1996 (01.08.96)		(74) Agents: HICKMAN, Paul, L. et al.; P.O. Box 61059, Palo Alto, CA 94306 (US).	
(30) Priority Data: 60/001,875 3 August 1995 (03.08.95) US 08/692,830 29 July 1996 (29.07.96) US		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(71) Applicants: INTERVAL RESEARCH CORPORATION [US/US]; Building C, 1801 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (US). ROYAL COLLEGE OF ART [GB/GB]; Kensington Gore, London SW7 2EU (GB).		Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.	
(72) Inventors: COHEN, Jonathan, R.; 720 Kansas Street, San Francisco, CA 94107 (US). BISHOP, Durrell, G., B.; 7 Campden Mansions, Kensington Mall, London W8 (GB). HINDUS, Debby; 315 Yerba Buena Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94127 (US). JOHNSON, Bonnie, M.; 1515 Channing Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (US). SINGER, Andrew, J.; 555 Bryan Street #219, Palo Alto, CA 94301 (US). STIFELMAN, Lisa, J.; 872 Massachusetts Avenue #907, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US). VERPLANK, William, L.; 565 Hobart Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US). WALLTERS, Scott, C.; 18604 Loree Avenue, Cupertino, CA 95014 (US). WITHGOTT, M., Margaret; 12348 Melody Lane, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022 (US).		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 9 May 1997 (09.05.97)	

(54) Title: COMPUTERIZED INTERACTOR SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING SAME

## (57) Abstract

A computerized interactor system uses physical, three-dimensional objects as metaphors for input of user intent to a computer system. When one or more interactors are engaged with a detection field, the detection field reads an identifier associated with the object and communicates the identifier to a computer system. The computer system determines the meaning of the interactor based upon its identifier and upon a semantic context in which the computer system is operating. The interactors can be used to control other systems, such as audio systems, or it can be used as intuitive inputs into a computer system for such purposes as marking events in a temporal flow. The interactors, as a minimum, communicate their identity, but may also be more sophisticated in that they can communicate additional processed or unprocessed data, i.e. they can include their own data processors. The detection field can be one-dimensional or multi-dimensional, and typically has different semantic meanings associated with different parts of the detection field.



# **FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Larvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 96/12657

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 G06K11/18 H04M3/56 G06F3/033 G11B27/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 G06F G06K H04M G11B H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 013 047 A (SCHWAB GUENTHER) 7 May 1991 see column 2, line 41 - column 3, line 52 see figures 1,8-12	1-6,8,9, 11,12
X	--- HUMAN FACTORS IN COMPUTING SYSTEMS, CHI '95 CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS. DENVER, MAY 7 - 11, 1995, 7 May 1995, KATZ I R ET AL (ED ), pages 442-449, XP000538473 FITZMAURICE G W ET AL: "BRICKS: LAYING THE FOUNDATIONS FOR GRASPABLE USER INTERFACES"	1-6,8,9, 11,12
A	see the whole document	7,10, 13-20

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

3 March 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

26.03.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Ciarelli, N

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 96/12657

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 016, no. 158 (E-1191), 17 April 1992. & JP 04 010743 A (NIPPON TELEGR & TELEPH CORP), 14 January 1992, see abstract	5,11
A	NETWORKING IN THE NINETIES, BAL HARBOUR, APR. 7 - 11, 1991, vol. 3, 7 April 1991, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, pages 1127-1134, XP000223440 TANIGAWA H ET AL: "PERSONAL MULTIMEDIA-MULTIPOINT TELECONFERENCE SYSTEM" see page 1130, right-hand column, last paragraph - page 1131, left-hand column, paragraph 1	5,11
A	DE 30 08 190 A (INST RUNDFUNKTECHNIK GMBH) 10 September 1981 see the whole document	5,7,10, 11,13-20
A	GB 2 226 468 A (RANK CINTEL LTD) 27 June 1990 see the whole document	5,7,10, 11,13-20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 96/12657

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

see annexed sheet

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International Application No. **PCT/US 96/ 12657**

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/210**

1. Claims 1-4,5,6,8,9,11 and 12: Relating to a computerized interactor system (and corresponding method) in a video application system...
2. Claims 5,7,10,11,13-20: Relating to a computerized interactor system (and corresponding method) in an audio application system.



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 96/12657

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5013047 A	07-05-91	DE 3608148 A	24-09-87
		WO 8705723 A	24-09-87
		EP 0289519 A	09-11-88
		JP 1501614 T	08-06-89
		JP 63503205 T	24-11-88
DE 3008190 A	10-09-81	NONE	
GB 2226468 A	27-06-90	WO 9007244 A	28-06-90

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**